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## 1 Type Faces and Other Conventions

normal
Italic is used for names of all utilities, switches, directories, and filenames and to emphasise new terms and concepts when they are first introduced. It is also used in programmes and examples to explain what is happening or what has been left out at the ... marks

Bold is used occasionally within the text to make words easy to find-just like the name of movie stars in the People section of your local newspaper

Monotype is used for sample code fragments and examples. A reference in the text to a word or item used in an example or code fragment is also shown in constant width font.

Monotype Italic is used in code fragments and examples to show variables for which a context-specific substitution should be made. (the variable filename, for example, would be replaced by some actual filename)

## 2 Structure of SIMPSON [1]

### 2.1 Files

simpson, simplot, simfid, simdps etc.

### 2.2 Important Conventions used

- use shift conventions not shielding
- parameters ending on a letter $p$ are read as $p p m$ values, to use this feature define the proton_freq variable in the proc section
- times in the pulseq section are assumed to be in units of $10^{-6} \mathrm{~s}$


### 2.3 Tcl Commands and Variables in the Parameter File

The parameter file is structured in the sections: spinsys, par, proc pulseq, proc main

### 2.3.1 spinsys

nuclei define nuclei one is dealing with, like $1 \mathrm{H}, 13 \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~N}, \ldots$
e.g.: nuclei 1 H 15 N , or: 13 C 13C ...
channels define the RF channels in use, like $1 \mathrm{H}, 13 \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~N}, \ldots$

$$
\text { e.g.: channels } 1 \mathrm{H} \text {... }
$$

shift define the chemical shift
dipole define direct dipole coupling between spin $i$ and $j$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { form: dipole } \operatorname{Spin} j \quad \operatorname{Spin} j \\
& \begin{array}{lllllll}
\text { e.g. dipole } & 1 & 2 & -5000 & 0 & 20 & 30
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{lllllll}
\text { dipole } & 1 & 3 & 2000 & 0 & 90 & 120
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

jcoupling define the J coupling between spin $i$ and $j$

| form: | jcoupling | Spin $j$ | Spin $j$ | $\frac{j_{i s o}^{i j}}{[\text { ipm }}$ | $\frac{J_{\text {aniso }}^{i j}}{\left[\begin{array}{l} \text { apm } \end{array}\right.}$ | $\eta^{\mathrm{J}_{i j}}$ | $\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{P}, ~}^{\mathrm{J}_{i j}}}{(\mathrm{deg}}$ | $\frac{\beta_{p_{j}^{j} j_{j}}^{\mathrm{deg}}}{(\mathrm{~d}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e.g. | jcoupling | 1 | 2 | 5 p | -10p | 0 | 0 | 20 | 30 |
|  | jcoupling | 1 | 3 | 3 p | 20p | 0.2 | 0 | 90 | 120 |

quadrupole define quadrupolar coupling of spin $i$, where the order of the coupling Hamiltonian is set by the parameter order. order can take the values 1 and 2 corresponding to first or second order quadrupolar interaction

| form: | quadrupole | Spin | rder | $\frac{C^{Q_{i}}}{2 \pi}$ | $\eta^{Q_{i j}}$ | $\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{P}}^{Q_{i j}}}{\operatorname{dog}}$ | $\frac{\beta_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{C}}}{\frac{\mathrm{Dco}}{}}$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{Q}_{\text {P }}{ }_{\text {ij }}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e.g. | quadrupole | 1 | 1 | 3.28 e 6 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
|  | quadrupole | 2 | 2 | 200e6 | 0.2 | 0 | 90 | 120 |

### 2.3.2 par

spin_rate set the MAS frequency in Hz
e.g. 10000
np sampling points
e.g. 32
sw spectral width in Hz
e.g. spin_rate/2
 SION, ZCW or other schemes.
i.e crystal_file rep168 or
or define own set of angles in <filename>.cry
i.e crystal_file < filename>
gamma_angles set the number of gamma angles $\frac{\gamma_{\text {CR }}^{\lambda}}{[\operatorname{deg}]}$ to use
gamma_zero set the angle $\frac{\gamma_{\mathrm{CR}}^{\lambda}}{[\operatorname{deg}]}$ for a single crystal
rotor_angle set the the rotor angle (e.g. $\beta_{\mathrm{MAS}}=\arccos \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \approx 54.7^{\circ}$
method define numerical method to use for time evolution like direct method (direct), GAMMA COMPUTE (gcompute)
start_operator define the operator for the magnetisation to start experiment with e.g. I1x, I2y or Inx for all spins
detect_operator set the detection operator, for quadrature detection one uses to Inp, or I2p.
proton_frequency set the proton frequency that corresponds to the field strength of the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{B}}_{0}$ field wanted
e.g. proton_frequency 400 e 6 for a 400 MHz spectrometer
variable is a user defined parameter for later reuse in pulseq or main
e.g. variable rf 150000 defines a variable rf that would later handle the absolute RF field strength.
verbose set the verbosity of simpson on (1) or off (0) by consecutive series of 0's and 1's for the parts:

| form: | verbose | SPINSYS | PROGRESS | PAR | SIMINFO | OPER | POWDER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e.g. | verbose | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

2.3.3 proc pulseq
global include set of parameters
e.g. global par to include all parameters from section par so they can be reused
set set typical parameters that will be reused
e.g. set t180 [expr 0.5e6/\$par(rf)]
set duration of a $180^{\circ}$ pule in $\mu \mathrm{s}$
or
i.e set tr2 [expr 0.5e6/\$par (spin_rate)-\$t180]
the duration of half a rotor period in $\mu$ s. Here the duration of the $\pi$ pulse is subtracted from the half rotor period to ensure rotor synchronisation of refocusing pulses
pulse define a pulse
e.g. pulse \$t180 0 x \$par (rf) x
is setting up a pulse of duration $\$ \mathrm{t} 180$ with $R F$ amplitude 0 and phase x in the first channel and RF amplitude \$par(rf), as defined in section par as rf and phase x
pulseid use ideal pulses
delay set a delay like $\$$ tr2 in $\mu$ s
acq obtain the current density matrix, resulting from time propagation up to this point in time. In addition, get expectation value of the detect_operator (e.g. a data point)
maxdt duration in $\mu$ s over which the Hamiltonian can be assumed to be constant in e.g. maxdt 1 usually a good value
store store time evolution propagator to be reused later with the prop command i.e store 1 can be reused by the command prop 1
reset reset current time and time evolution propagator. In addition to calculate the propagator at an arbitrary time point, use this time with the reset command e.g. reset [expr 7*\$t360]
prop $\rightarrow$ store
2.3.4 proc main

XXX

### 2.4 Example Programme

```
spinsys {
    channels 1H
    nuclei 1H
        shift 1 10 0 0 0 0 0
    }
par {
    spin_rate 0
    sw 30000
    start_operator I1x
    detect_operator I1p
    gamma_angles 1
    verbose 1101
    np 1024
    crystal_file alpha0beta0
    proton_frequency 400e6
    }
proc pulseq {} {
    global par
        reset
    delay [expr 1.0e6/$par(sw)]
    store 1
    reset
    acq $par(np) 1
# Same as:
```

```
# acq
# for {set i 1} {$i < $par(np)} {incr i} {
# prop 1
# acq
# } }
proc main {} {
    global par
    set f [fsimpson]
    fsave $f $par(name).fid
    faddlb $f 50 0
    fzerofill $f 4096
    fft $f
    fsave $f $par(name).spe -xreim
    fsave $f $par(name).spe
    funload $f
}
```


### 2.5 The Powder Angles Available in Simpson's *.cry Files

| method: | BCR | REPULSION [16] | ZCW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | bcr10.cry | rep10.cry | zcw20.cry |
|  | bcr20.cry | rep20.cry | zcw33.cry |
|  | bcr30.cry | rep30.cry | zcw54.cry |
|  | bcr40.cry | rep50.cry | zcw88.cry |
|  | bcr50.cry | bcr66.cry | zcw143.cry |
|  | bcr80.cry | rep100.cry | zcw232.cry |
|  | bcr200.cry | rep144.cry | zcw376.cry |
|  | bcr400.cry | rep168.cry | zcw615.cry |
|  |  | rep256.cry | zcw986.cry |
|  |  | rep320.cry | zcw4180.cry |
|  |  | rep678.cry |  |
|  |  | rep2000.cry |  |

## 3 0st Exercise - Static, Single Spin $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$ with $S=\frac{1}{2}$

### 3.1 First Steps in SIMPSON

Workplace: ~/summerschool04/sim/Exercise0/intr_simp
Situation: An isolated, single spin $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$ is located in a strong, homogeneous, external magnetic field $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{B}}_{0}$ and is therefore influenced by the Zeeman interaction.



## Tasks:

1. Have a look at the simpson input file intr_simp.in and get familiar with the structure and the variables in it.
2. Do a simulation by calling :
\$ simpson intr_simp.in
and inspect the output with:
\$ simplot intr_simp.spe or \$ simplot intr_simp.fid
3. Get familar with simplot
4. Check the FID corresponding to a static Hamiltonian.

What is the effect of the isotropic chemical shift on the FID.
shift 110000000
5. Detect the FID along the $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$ - and $\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}$-directions by adjusting:
detect_operator $I 1 x$
and/or
start_operator I1p
in the input file intr_simp.in .

### 3.2 NMR on a Single Crystal

Workplace: ~/summerschool04/sim/Exercise0/static/csa-single

Situation: An isolated single spin $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$ in a strong, homogeneous, external magnetic field $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{B}}_{0}$, located in a single crystal and, therefore, influenced by the Zeeman and the Chemical Shift interaction.


## Tasks:

1. Get familiar with the crystal file my_alphabeta.cry and the gamma_zero parameter in csa.in
2. The Euler angles $\left\{\alpha_{\mathrm{CL}}, \beta_{\mathrm{CL}}, \gamma_{\mathrm{CL}}\right\}$ are used to transform the coordinate system of the single crystal frame to the laboratory frame (detector). Check which Euler angle has an influence on the NMR signal using the file csa.in and modifying the line:
gamma_zero 0
therein and the file my_alphabeta.cry
3. Extract the eigenvalues of the CSA tensor for the cases where the asymmetry parameter is $\eta=0$ and $\eta=1$ from single crystal simulations by orienting the single crystal in the appropriate way with respect to the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{B}}_{0}$ field. Use the files csaeta0_alpha0beta0.in, csaeta0_alpha0beta90.in, csaeta0_alpha90beta90.in
4. Since there is no need to distinguish between the coordinate system of the single crystal and the principal axes system of the CSA tensor here, one can choose both to be coincident. (this is not generally the case when multiple, orientation dependent interactions are present!).


### 3.3 The Axes Systems of NMR

## Euler Angles <br> $\Omega=\{\alpha ; \beta ; \gamma\}$



### 3.4 Powder Averaging

Workplace: ~/summerschool04/sim/Exercise0/static/csa-powder
Situation: Same case as before but now we take into account a multitude of single crystals with different orientations, representing the situation in a polycrystalline powder.


## Tasks:

1. Use the available crystal files in Section 2.5 to gradually increase the number of orientations in the simulations (use the files csaeta0.in, csaeta1.in) until there is no change in lineshape anymore and a complete powder average is simulated (eventually use zcw28656.cry and zcw832039.cry provided in the workplace folder)
2. Compare the simulated CSA powder-patterns to the resonances of the CSA eigenvalues simulated in ~/summerschool04/sim/Exercise0/static/csa-single/
3. Simulate CSA powder patterns for arbitrary values of $\omega_{\text {iso }}^{\mathrm{CS}}, \delta^{\mathrm{CS}}, \eta^{\mathrm{CS}}$ (including negative values of $\delta^{\mathrm{CS}}$ ).

## 4 1st Exercise - Spin Interactions and MAS

### 4.1 Direct Dipolar Coupling

Workplace: ~/summerschool04/sim/Exercise1/dipole
Situation: Two spins $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$, with $S, I=\frac{1}{2}$, in a strong, homogeneous, external magnetic field $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{B}}_{0}$, in spatial proximity to each other and, therefore, influenced by mutual dipolar coupling interaction.


## Tasks:

1. Simulate the spectrum for a heteronuclear dipolar coupled spin pair using pakehetero.in Compare the result to the simulation of a single spin subjected only to the CSA interaction using csaet0.in (change the sign of $\delta^{\mathrm{CS}}$ ).
2. Repeat the simulation, but this time for a powder by using an appropriate crystal angle file from the table in Section 2.5.
3. Simulate the spectrum of a homonuclear dipolar coupled spin pair using pakehomo.in and compare it to the spectrum of the heteronuclear spin pair in Section 5.1.

### 4.2 Gamma-COMPUTE and Efficient Simulation of NMR Spectra

## Time Evolution


time dependent periodic
COMPUTE method

powder $\gamma$-COMPUTE method


### 4.3 The Magic Angle Spinning (MAS) Experiment [2]

Workplace: ~/summerschool04/sim/Exercise1/csa-mas/direct/

Situation: The same situation as in Section 3.4 but now the crystallites are rotated with the spinning speed $\omega_{\text {rot }}$, continuously around an axis inclined at an angle $\beta_{\text {MAS }}$ with respect to $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{B}}_{0}$.


## Tasks:

1. Simulate MAS spectra with different spinning speeds using the files: csa-static.in, csadslow.in, csadfast.in
2. Use csadfastsingle.in to check which powder angles $\left\{\alpha_{\mathrm{CL}}, \beta_{\mathrm{CL}}, \gamma_{\mathrm{CL}}\right\}$ are now encoded in the spectrum.
3. Simulate the MAS spectra of task 1. again, now using the Gamma-COMPUTE method, where:
method direct
is changed to
method gcompute
in the input file csaslow.in, csafast.in

### 4.4 The Off Magic Angle Spinning (OMAS) Experiment

Workplace: ~/summerschool04/sim/Exercise1/csa-omas

Situation: same as in Section 4.3 but now the angle $\beta_{\text {MAS }}$ is no longer fixed to the magic angle.


## Tasks:

1. Simulate OMAS spectra by varying the spinning speed in csafast.in. What is the lineshape as compared to MAS simulations?
2. Simulate spectra, varying the rotor angle in line:
rotor_angle 54.7
in the input file csafast.in (use angles larger and smaller than the magic angle ( $\beta_{\mathrm{MAS}} \approx$ $\left.54.7^{\circ}\right)$ ).
3. Compare the simulation of the OMAS experiment with the corresponding static CSA powder pattern of this spin system by using csa-static.in

### 4.5 Fit CSA in a MAS Spectrum

Workplace: ~/summerschool04/sim/Exercise1/csa-mas/gamma/csafit
Situation: Given the MAS spectrum of a powdered sample where the spin system consists of three uncoupled spins $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{1}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{2}}$ both experiencing CSA.

How is it possible to extract the spin-system parameters encoded in the spectrum.


## Tasks:

1. Which parameters can be extracted from the spectrum?
2. Try to extract the CSA parameters from the given experimental spectrum expl.spe by running csafit1S.in and compare to csafit1S.spe. If the fit result does not agree to the solution in expl.in,insert the obtained "best-fit parameters" into the proc main section of csafit1S.in as new start parameters:
mnpar scl1 10100
mnpar iso1-10.5 1
mnpar csa1 90100
mnpar eta1 0.50 .10 .01 .0
mnpar lb 3010
repeat till fit is not improving anymore. (remember to reduce uncertainty of fit parameters)
3. Try to extract the remaining two CSA parameter sets (given iso1 is 0,10 repectively). Remember to adjust the frequency intervals in set rms [frms \$f \$g -re [list [list 16500 17100] ... to match the respective MAS sideband pattern.

## 5 2nd Exercise - Heteronuclear De- and Recoupling

### 5.1 Heteronuclear Spin Pair under MAS

Workplace: ~/summerschool04/sim/Exercise2/hetero-mas

Situation: Two heteronuclear spins $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$ with $S, I=\frac{1}{2}$, in a powder, in a strong, homogeneous, external magnetic field $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{B}}_{0}$, in spatial proximity to each other and, therefore, influenced by mutual dipolar coupling interaction.


## Tasks:

1. Simulate the MAS spectrum of $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$ by running all_hetero.in.
2. Modify the Euler angles $\left\{\alpha_{\mathrm{PC}}^{\mathrm{CS}}, \beta_{\mathrm{PC}}^{\mathrm{CS}}, \gamma_{\mathrm{PC}}^{\mathrm{CS}}\right\}$ for $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$ and $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$ and check which are encoded in the spectrum.
3. The spin system in the powder now consists of three spins $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}_{\mathbf{1}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}_{\mathbf{2}}$. Both $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}_{\mathbf{2}}$ are dipolar coupled to $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$. In addition the two homonuclear spins $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}_{\mathbf{1}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}_{\mathbf{2}}$ are dipolar coupled to each other. All spins $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}_{\mathbf{1}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}_{\mathbf{2}}$ experience CSA. We observe the spectrum of $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$.
Simulate the spectrum of $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$ under MAS, once including the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}_{\mathbf{1}}-\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}_{\mathbf{2}}$ homonuclear dipolar coupling, once assuming absence of this $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}_{\mathbf{1}}-\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}_{\mathbf{2}}$ homonuclear dipolar coupling by changing the line:
dipole $23-71830800$
to
\# dipole $23-71830800$
in SiF2.in

### 5.2 Continuous Wave (CW) [3] Decoupling

Workplace: ~/summerschool04/sim/Exercise2/heterodec/cwdec

Situation: Two dipolar coupled heteronuclear spins $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$ in a powder, in a strong, homogeneous, external magnetic field $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{B}}_{0}$, in spatial proximity to each other and, therefore, influenced by mutual dipolar coupling interaction.

The spectrum of $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$ is observed. CW decoupling is applied at the Larmor frequency of $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$.


## Tasks:

1. Run the simulation in cwdec.in
2. Modify the offset of the CW r.f. irradiation in the $\boldsymbol{I}$-channel by setting
offset 00
to nonzero values in cwdec.in
Inspect the decoupling performance.
3. Adjust the r.f. amplitude in cwdec.in by modifying the line
pulse 1 e 600100000 x
to get optimum decoupling.
Compare the optimum decoupling result with a simulation where the spin pair $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$ is assumed to have no $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}-\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$ dipolar coupling using cwdec_nodip.in

### 5.3 Two Pulse Phase Modulation (TPPM) [4] Decoupling

Workplace: ~/summerschool04/sim/Exercise2/heterodec/tppmdec
Situation: A dipolar coupled heteronuclear spin pair $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$ in a powder, under MAS, in a strong, homogeneous, external magnetic field $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{B}}_{0}$, with $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$ and $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$ in spatial proximity to each other and, therefore, influenced by mutual dipolar coupling interaction. Both $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$ and $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$ experiencing CSA.

Spectrum of $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$ is taken with TPPM decoupling applied at the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$-spin Larmor frequency.


## Tasks:

1. Simulate the effect of TPPM decoupling on the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$-spin spectrum by runing the file hetdec.in
Compare the result to the CW decoupling situation (is done automatically by hetdec.in).
2. Increase the amount of decoupling power by adjusting the line:
variable rf 80000
to higher values (e.g. 160000)
3. Remove the CSA of the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$-spins and rerun hetdec.in.

Compare the effect of TPPM and CW decoupling on the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$-spin spectrum.

### 5.4 The Cross Polarization (CP) [5, 6] Experiment

Workplace: ~/summerschool04/sim/Exercise2/heterorec/cp/
Situation: A dipolar coupled heteronuclear spin pair $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$ in a powder, under MAS, both $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$, $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$ experiencing CSA. The $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$-spin spectrum is observed under conditions of $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$-spin decoupling. The observable $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$-spin magnetisation is created by $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}} \rightarrow \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$ Hartman-Hahn CP.


## Tasks:

1. Run $c p . i n$ in order observe $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$-spin and $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$-spin spectra ( $c p-1 H$.spe and $c p$-13C.spe) depicting the magnetisation residing on the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spins. How do the spectral intensities change when the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$-spin r.f. channel amplitude:
variable rf2 34000
or CP duration $\tau_{\text {contact }}$ :
variable cpmix 100
is modified.
2. Run $c p$-hhahn.in in order to get a scan of the amplitude of the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$-spin resonance $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ as a function of the amplitude of the r.f. irradiation at the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$-spin Larmor frequency (rf2) in cp-hhahn.fid.
Explain the relationship with the spin rate $\omega_{\text {rot }}$.
3. Take an optimum setting of the $\boldsymbol{I}$ - and $\boldsymbol{S}$-channel r.f. amplitudes (rf2,rf) for CP. Run cp-scan_tauexc.in to calculate series of simulations where the the contact time $\tau_{\text {contact }}$ (cpmix) is varied. The resulting files $c p$-scan_tauexc-1H.fid and cp-scan_tauexc-13C.fid display integrated spectral intensities of the respective nucleus.
4. Check the influence of different dipolar coupling strengths on the oscillation curves produced by cp-scan_tauexc.in

### 5.5 The REDOR Experiment [7]

Workplace: ~/summerschool04/sim/Exercise2/heterorec/redor/sim
Situation: A Heteronuclear spin pair $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$ in a powder under MAS, both $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$ experiencing CSA. Spectrum of $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$ spins is taken with series of $\boldsymbol{S}$-channel and $\boldsymbol{I}$-channel pulses applied as indicated.


1. Run redor.in to optain a so-called REDOR curve in redor.fid
2. Examine the dependence of the REDOR curve on the magnitude of the dipolar coupling and the CSA eigenvalues of $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$ and $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$.
3. Set the number of acquistion points in redor.in:
np 32
to
np 4
and run:
\$ simdps redor.in
to get a sketch of the pulseprogramme in redor.ps (if you can not display postscript use AcrobatReader to look at redor.pdf :-)
4. Going to ~/summerschool04/sim/Exercise2/heterorec/redor/fit . Obtain a fit of an experimental REDOR curve redorexp.fid in order to extract the magnitude of the dipolar coupling constant by running redorfit.in and compare the result to redorexp.in. Use a fitting procedure similar to the one used for fitting CSA in Section 4.5.

### 5.6 The Rotary Resonance Recoupling ( $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ ) [8] Experiment

Workplace: ~/summerschool04/sim/Exercise2//heterorec/r3
Situation: A heteronuclear spin pair $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$ in a powder, under MAS, both $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$ experiencing CSA. Spectrum of the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$-spin is taken with CW irradiation applied at the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$-spin Larmor frequncy as indicated.


## Tasks:

1. run $r$ 3.in and afterwards examine which of the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$ and $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$ CSA parameters are sensitively encoded in the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$-spin spectrum.
2. Modify the amplitude of the r.f irradiation at the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$ spin Larmor frequency. Simulate $\vec{S}$-spin spectra for:
a) $\omega_{\text {r.f }}=n \omega_{\text {rot }} ; n \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$
b) $\omega_{r . f} \ll n \omega_{\text {rot }}$;
c) $\omega_{\text {r.f }} \gg n \omega_{\mathrm{rot}}$;
by changing the line:
set rf 3000
3. Compare the results to a $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$-spin spectrum of the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}$-, $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$-spin pair obtained without r.f. irradiation at the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}$-spin Larmor frequency (Section: 5.1)

## 6 3rd Exercise - Homonuclear Spin Pairs

### 6.1 Homonuclear Spin Pairs under MAS

Workplace: ~/summerschool04/sim/Exercise3/homo-mas
Situation: Two homonuclear spins $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{1}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{2}}$, with $S_{1}, S_{2}=\frac{1}{2}$, in a powder, in a strong, homogeneous, external magnetic field $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{B}}_{0}$, in spatial proximity to each other and, therefore, influenced by mutual dipolar coupling interaction.


## Tasks:

1. Simulate a MAS spectrum of the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{1}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{2}}$ spin pair by running all_homo.in.
2. Modify the Euler angles $\left\{\alpha_{\mathrm{PC}}^{\mathrm{CS}}, \beta_{\mathrm{PC}}^{\mathrm{CS}}, \gamma_{\mathrm{PC}}^{\mathrm{CS}}\right\}$ for $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and check which are encoded in the spectrum.
Compare the findings with the results for Section: 5.1

### 6.2 The Rotational Resonance ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) [9, 10, 11, 12] Experiment

Workplace: ~/summerschool04/sim/Exercise3/homorec/r2

Situation: A homonuclear spin pair $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{1}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{2}}$ in a powder under MAS, both $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{1}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{2}}$ experiencing CSA, in spatial proximity to each other and, therefore, influenced by mutual dipolar coupling interaction. $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{1}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{2}}$ display a large isotropic chemical shift difference.


## Tasks:

1. Run r2_single.in and modify the spinning speed $\omega_{\text {rot }}$ by changing:
spin_rate 5000
Focus on the Rotational Resonance condition $\Delta \omega_{\text {iso }}^{\mathrm{CS}}=n \omega_{\text {rot }}$.
2. Use r2_single.in to examine the width of the $n=1$ Rotational Resonance condition.

### 6.3 The Homonuclear Rotary Resonance (HORROR) [8, 13] Experiment

Workplace: ~/summerschool04/sim/Exercise3/homorec/horror

Situation: A homonuclear spin pair $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{1}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{2}}$ in a powder under MAS.





## Tasks:

1. Run horror.in where the r.f field amplitude $\omega_{\text {r.f. }}$ is set to fulfill the horror condition $\omega_{\text {rot }}=2 \omega_{\text {r.f. }}$
2. Compare the simulated spectrum to an anologous conventional MAS experiment by setting the r.f. amplitude in the input file to zero by modifying:
variable rf $0.5 * s p i n \_r a t e$
in horror.in to
variable rf 0

### 6.4 The Radio Frequency-Driven Dipolar Recoupling (RFDR) [14] Experiment

Workplace: ~/summerschool04/sim/Exercise3/homorec/rfdr
Situation: A homonuclear spin pair $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{1}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{2}}$ in a powder under MAS.


## Tasks:

1. Run rfdrsim.in to simulate a RFDR experiment with initial magnetisation only at the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{1}^{\prime}}$-spin site (start_operator I1z). How do the spectral intensities of the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{1}^{-}}$and $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{2}}$-spin resonances change when modifying the RFDR mixing time $2 n \tau_{\text {rot }}$ by varying: variable n 64
2. Examine the influence of non-ideal pulses (finite amplitude, finite duration) on a RFDR spectrum by running rfdrsimreal.in. To do so change the r.f. amplitude of the RFDR $\pi$-pulses:
variable rf 250000
in order to get agreement with the ideal-pulse case.
3. Run rfdrscan.in to get a scan of the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{1}}$ - or $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{2}}$-spin integrated spectral intensities as a function of the RFDR mixing time $2 n \tau_{\text {rot }}$ in rfdrscan-first.fid and rfdrscan-second.fid respectively.
4. Modify
variable rf 250000
in rfdrscanreal.in to examine the effect of real pulses on the RFDR curves obtained before.
5. What is the effect of different dipolar coupling constants (dipole 12-2250 0000 ) on the RFDR curves created by rfdrscan.in.

### 6.5 The $C 7$ [15] Experiment

Workplace: ~/summerschool04/sim/Exercise3/homorec/c7
Situation: A homonuclear spin pair $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{1}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{2}}$ in a powder under MAS.






$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline \mathbf{C}_{0} & \mathbf{C}_{2 \pi / 7} & \mathbf{C}_{4 \pi / 7} & \mathbf{C}_{6 \pi / 7} & \mathbf{C}_{8 \pi / 7} & \mathbf{C}_{10 \pi / 7} & \mathbf{C}_{12 \pi / 7} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

| $(2 \pi)_{\phi}$ | $(2 \pi)_{\bar{\phi}}$ |
| :--- | :--- |

## Tasks:

1. Run the c7_21.in file to simulate a so-called $C 7$ curve, where the integrated $\vec{S}$-spin signal intensities are plotted as a function of the number $n$ of $C 7$ cycles applied during $\tau_{e x c}$ and $\tau_{\text {rec }}$.
2. Vary the magnitude of the dipolar coupling constant and the CSA eigenvalues of $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\mathbf{2}}$ in order to examine which parameters are encoded in the so-called $C 7$ curve.
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